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Abstract

Risk mitigation tests have been conducted by the NASA Glenn Research Center and The Aerospace Corporation in support of the DART Mission. The tests focused on NEXT performance characterizations intended to ensure its operations and characteristics are compatible with the DART mission operations, and to assist in the definition of the propulsion system. Tests were performed at the Aerospace Corporation and they involved: flow sensitivity-analyses, steady-state performance characterizations, and measurements of thruster erosion. The tests also involved defining, demonstrating, verifying, and evaluating the start-up sequences and a beam current regulation algorithm consistent with DART mission requirements. It was found that NEXT thruster operations are compatible with the proposed relaxation of flow control ranges for ignition and for steady-state operation.

I. Nomenclature

\( g \) = gravitational constant, \( m/s^2 \)
\( I_sp \) = specific impulse, s
\( J_a \) = accelerator current, A
\( J_b \) = beam current, A
\( J_d \) = discharge current, A
\( m_i \) = ion mass, kg
\( m_p \) = propellant mass, kg
\( q \) = ion charge state
\( T \) = thrust, N
\( V_b \) = beam voltage, V
\( V_c \) = coupling voltage, V
\( V_d \) = discharge voltage, V
\( \alpha \) = doubly ionized thrust correction factor
\( \beta \) = divergence thrust correction factor
\( \eta_u \) = propellant utilization efficiency
II. Introduction

NASA’s Double Asteroid Redirection Test (DART) will be the first demonstration of the kinetic impact technique
to change the motion of an asteroid in space. The DART mission is led by Johns Hopkins University Applied
Physics Laboratory (APL). The DART spacecraft will utilize the NASA Evolutionary Xenon Thruster (NEXT)
solar electric propulsion system during flight operations. NEXT is the next generation system, a natural progression
in grided ion thruster technology from that implemented on the Deep-Space one and Dawn missions, developed at
NASA’s Glenn Research Center (GRC) in Cleveland, Ohio.\textsuperscript{1,2} By utilizing electric propulsion, DART is able reduce
the amount of hydrazine required for attitude control maneuvers and gain flexibility in mission operations.

The NEXT ion propulsion system has been under development since the early 2000s. Substantial progress has
been made on the system, including performance, environmental, and system integration testing of engineering- and
prototype-model (EM and PM) hardware, and lifetime assessment through both analysis and testing.\textsuperscript{3-7} In 2015
NASA partnered with Aerojet-Rocketdyne and subcontractor ZIN Technologies to manufacture two thrusters and
two power processing units (PPUs) for use on future NASA missions. This ongoing effort is called the NEXT-
Commercial (NEXT-C) project. The approach of the project has been to address known issues with the PPU and
thruster designs, meet any updates to the system requirements, and make design changes that reduce cost while
maintaining the validity of the testing to date.\textsuperscript{8-9} The first NEXT-C propulsion string will be delivered to APL for
use on DART, while the second string is being reserved as a backup for the mission.

While Aerojet-Rocketdyne is contracted by GRC to deliver NEXT-C flight hardware, additional tests and
analyses were required to support APL’s definition and implementation of a NEXT-C ion propulsion system for
DART. These tests and analyses included: Phase 1 testing, preceding the DART mission Preliminary Design
Review, focusing on thruster operations; and Phase 2 testing, preceding the DART mission Critical Design Review
(CDR), focusing on system-level demonstrations. The following section outlines the tests that have been conducted.

A. Test Sequence Definition

DART risk mitigation testing was conducted by GRC at The Aerospace Corporation with collaboration from
APL. The Aerospace Corp. was under contract with GRC as both the test venue, and the executing organization
with respect to plume diagnostics. The tests focused on NEXT performance characterizations intended to ensure its
operations and characteristics are compatible with the DART mission Concept-of-Operations (CONOPS), and to
assist in the definition of the propulsion system. The Phase 1 tests included: (a) detailed characterizations of
mission-specific throttle levels; (b) definition of mission-specific profiles including thruster start-up and beam-
control algorithms.

(a) Detailed characterizations of the mission-specific throttle levels – DART mission operation is intended to be
primarily at NEXT Throttle Level 28 (TL28), about 3,220 W thruster input power at 3,140 seconds specific impulse
yielding a thrust level of 137 mN. It should be noted that during the NEXT Phase II development program, all
ground tests were conducted using xenon feed systems with a maximum flow tolerance of +/-3%. Given that NEXT
will nominally operate at a single operating point during the DART mission, APL opted to use commercial-off-the-
shelf flow restrictors to provide propellant to the engine. While this potentially simplifies the xenon feed system, it
came at the expense of a) reduced flow accuracy; and b) the inability to throttle flows, which is typically done
during NEXT startup operations. The risk reduction tests therefore focused on characterizing the engine during
start-up and steady-state operations within the tolerance bands of the chosen flow restrictors. The tests involved:
flow sensitivity-analyses; steady-state performance characterizations; and measurements of thruster erosion. The
performance and erosion data were used to refine lifetime estimates. The sensitivity-analyses subtask evaluated
NEXT thruster sensitivity at TL28, and other selected power levels adjacent to TL28. The evaluation involved
dynamic control of individual NEXT thruster input parameters, documenting thruster response, operating margins,
and beam charge state.

The steady-state performance subtask evaluated the NEXT thruster performance at TL28, and other selected
power levels near TL28. The goals of this subtask were to verify thruster performance against that documented in
NASA’s standard Throttle Table 11.1, characterize the plume expansion, and in particular document the presence of
ergetic high-angle ions which may be of relevance to spacecraft integration. The results of these tests were used
to: refine performance measurements at DART mission conditions; document the thruster plume at the specified throttle levels with sufficient fidelity and spatial extent to provide validation data for modeling/simulation of the thruster plume, and; generate sufficient data to develop a DART-specific Throttle Table which may be necessary to support the definition of throttle level increments between NEXT TL29-and-ETL2.7A – power-throttling, at fixed propellant flow rates, from about 3,640 W down to about 2,990 W.

The life/erosion subtask evaluated the NEXT thruster erosion signatures at TL28 and other selected power levels. By thruster erosion signatures, the primary emphasis is the erosion of molybdenum from the thruster ion optics accelerator electrode due to both direct-energetic ion erosion and charge-exchange ion erosion – at the specified throttle levels, applying spatially-resolved Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy. A collimated quartz crystal microbalance probe and witness samples were also utilized to obtain information about sputter erosion products and net deposition at specific throttle levels. These data were used to verify and refine: knowledge of thruster surface erosion rates; thruster eroded-product deposition rates; and thruster life time projections at the proposed DART flight throttle levels. The results of the Phase 1 diagnostic tests are presented in Refs. [10-11], and will be referenced as necessary within this document.

III. Test Set Up

Tests were conducted using the engineering model 4 (EM4) thruster, which was manufactured at NASA GRC. The NEXT EM discharge chamber utilizes a hollow cathode and semi-conic chamber with a ring cusp magnetic circuit for electron containment. The thruster employs a neutralizer design that is mechanically similar to the hollow cathode design of the International Space Station Plasma Contactor. The ion optics assembly is similar to that of the NSTAR thruster, with an increased ion optics diameter to accommodate higher beam currents. It is in form-and-fit very close to the flight thruster and in function virtually identical to the flight thruster. In-depth descriptions of NEXT engine design and performance are detailed in Refs. [12-15].

A power console consisting of six commercially available power supplies and integrated recycle logic circuitry was used to energize the thruster. A high-purity xenon feed system delivered propellant to the discharge cathode, neutralizer cathode, and discharge chamber main through individual mass flow controllers. Tests were conducted in EP2 at The Aerospace Corporation. The cryogenically pumped facility is 2.4 meters in diameter and 10 meters in length, with a base pressure of 1.3x10^-5 Pa (1.0 x 10^-7 torr). The facility pressure, corrected for xenon, during TL28 operation is 3.6 x 10^-4 Pa (2.7 x 10^-6 torr). The NEXT thruster was installed in the facility directed toward a carbon beam stop mounted on the downstream endcap. A photograph of the thruster mounted in the facility is shown in Fig. 1. A data acquisition and control system utilizing commercial software was used to monitor ion engine operation. The acquisition system includes signal conditioners for the thruster currents and voltages, as well as commercial software that controls the input power to the thruster. Data was sampled at a frequency of 10-20 Hz, and the thruster currents, voltages, flow rates were written to a data file at a rate of 1 Hz during thruster operation.
IV. Test Results

A. DART Performance Characterizations

NEXT engine performance and erosion characteristics were documented over a range of DART flow rates consistent with the desired flow control approach for the xenon flow system. Testing was confined to the beam voltage and beam current envelope highlighted in Fig. 2. The DART mission will be performed exclusively at a beam current of 2.70A, with a baseline beam voltage of $V_b = 1021$ V. The adjacent throttle levels may be used depending on the available input power to the propulsion system. In the following sections, the DTLXX-Y-Z nomenclature designates a DART throttle condition, complete with flow rates. The D denotes that the throttle level is DART-specific, Y indicates the total discharge chamber flow rate and Z designates the neutralizer flow rate. The highest flow rate is designated with an “A”, “O” is the lowest, and “H” is the nominal flow. The nominal condition for the DART mission is DTL28-H-H. The flow splits that were investigated during testing are shown in Fig. 3. The full DART throttle table showing the set-point flows, currents, and voltages is in the Appendix.
The divergence correction factor $\beta$ and the doubly ionized thrust correction factor $\alpha$ were of particular interest during the testing campaign as they relate to the engine efficiency. In practice, $\beta$ is determined from far-field beam current density measurements and $\alpha$ is calculated from mid-field charge state measurements. The charge state measurements are used to calculate the thruster efficiency, as well as the propellant utilization efficiency $\eta_u$:

$$\eta_u = \alpha \frac{I_n}{q} m_i m_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The thrust $T$ and the specific impulse $I_{sp}$ are calculated from the propellant utilization efficiency and thrust correction factors through the relations:

$$T = \alpha \beta J_b \sqrt{ \frac{2 m_i V_b}{q} }$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$I_{sp} = \alpha \beta \eta_u \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{ \frac{2 m_i V_b}{q} }$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The propellant utilization efficiency is shown in Fig. 4 for various propellant flow splits. The efficiency ranges from 0.871 – 0.931, with a value of 0.900 at the nominal condition of DTL28-H-H. While larger values of $\eta_u$ can result in higher values of the specific impulse (and thruster efficiency), it can also lead to increased discharge ion energies as well as Xe$^{++}$ production, both of which increase thruster internal erosion rates. The erosion products are a concern as they can redeposit within the thruster and cause electrical shorts, or they can migrate away from the thruster and interact with spacecraft surfaces. Several diagnostics were employed to characterize thruster erosion signatures – principally the erosion of molybdenum from the thruster ion optics accelerator electrode due to both direct-energetic ion erosion and charge-exchange ion erosion.
Spatially-resolved NEXT thruster ion optics erosion measurements were made using LIF, and sputter-eroded erosion products from the thruster were documented at +/-36 degrees with respect to the exit plane of the engine thrust axis using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), over the range of DART operating conditions. A full description of the LIF test results is given in Ref. [11]. The key findings include:

a. QCM measurements and witness plates indicate higher grid material efflux than previous measurements documented on the NEXT thruster. The measurements indicate aperture barrel erosion (as opposed to downstream surface erosion) is the dominant mechanism, which may be expected over the first ~1000 hours of operation;

b. Changes in measured efflux accurately track the predicted behavior expected with variation in discharge flow rates: lean conditions yield reduced erosion, while flooded conditions increase erosion;

c. Worst-Case QCM analyses indicate << 1x10^{-11} gm/(cm^2-s) Mo mass deposition rates behind the exit plane of the thruster. These values were provided to APL for spacecraft plume modeling;

d. No anomalous erosion rates were found at any grid position or throttle level with LIF or QCM measurements, and there is substantial grid lifetime margin for the DART mission.

The singly to doubly ionized Xe ratio as a function of the total discharge flow rate is plotted in Fig 5. The variations in discharge flow rates results in a monotonic change in Xe^{++}/Xe^{+} with total discharge flow rate; it increases at lower total discharge flow rates (higher η_u and Isp); and decreases with higher total discharge flow rates (lower η_u and Isp). This was expected from the trends in the discharge voltage as the flows were changed (Fig. 6). As stated earlier, the increased production of Xe^{++} ions can potentially lead to reduced thruster lifetimes. However, the combination of relatively low discharge voltages (< 30 V) and low Xe^{++} ion fractions indicate that erosion of cathode potential surfaces will not be an issue during the DART mission.

The divergence correction factor as a function of the total discharge flow rate is shown in Fig. 7. As expected, the relatively minor variations in propellant flow did not affect the plume divergence for a given throttle level. That is, for a given beam voltage, the plume divergence did not appreciably change as the flows were changed. The divergence modestly decreased with increasing beam voltage, which is consistent with prior plume measurements made with both EM and PM hardware.
Figure 5: Thrust charge correction factor as a function of the total discharge flow rate.

Figure 6: Discharge voltage as a function of the total discharge flow rate.
The specific impulse and thruster efficiency for various propellant flow splits are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. The combined variations of Xe flow rates, and overall increase in neutralizer cathode assembly (NCA) flow rate leads to an $I_{sp}$ range of 2,930 s – 3,140 s. The thruster efficiency values determined from $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are consistent with data obtained from prior NEXT engine tests, and provide both a more-accurate and more-comprehensive assessment of performance at DART anticipated throttle conditions, given the XFS flow control approach.
To summarize, NEXT thruster Xe ion plume was documented to characterize NEXT performance across all DART conditions and to provide validation data for modeling/simulation of the thruster plume. NEXT thruster operations are compatible with the proposed relaxation of flow control ranges for ignition and for steady-state operation. The relaxation in total discharge flow control increases the high-end discharge impedance leading to an increase in Xe$^{++}$ production – although this should not be consequential for DART. Higher-than-nominal flow rates during steady-state operation did not significantly enhance charge-exchange erosion of the accelerator electrode as determined from LIF measurements.

B. Definition and Characterization of NEXT Operational Algorithms

Algorithms to operate the NEXT engine have been defined and tested as part of the NEXT-C project. $^{17-18}$ The algorithms were chosen to leverage the work that has been completed during the NEXT Phase II development effort, as well as the NSTAR flight projects. The algorithms include sequences to start the engine, regulate the beam current (thrust), and throttle across the entire throttle table. Given the limited performance envelope of the DART mission, the algorithms were revisited to investigate possible simplifications, and to ensure the sequences were consistent with DART CONOPS requirements. The algorithms that were characterized for the DART mission are as follows:

1. Cathode Conditioning: One-time procedure that is used to prepare thruster cathodes for operation after exposure to contaminating environments.

2. Discharge: Procedure for igniting the neutralizer cathode and then the discharge cathode.

3. Throttle: Procedure for igniting the thruster discharge, applying high voltage to the ion optics, and ramping the discharge current to achieve the set-point beam current.

4. Beam Current Regulation: Actively controls the beam current (thrust) during steady-state operation.

5. Power Throttling: Procedure for transitioning the engine to the desired throttle level during steady-state operation.

6. Shut Down: Procedure for removing input power and propellant flow from an operating thruster.
The Cathode Conditioning and Shutdown procedures from the NEXT-C project replicated those used in prior flight programs and were also adopted for DART. The Throttle and Beam Current Regulation sequences are described in the following paragraphs. While Power Throttling sequences were tested, they will not be utilized during the DART mission and will not be discussed further.

Multiple start-up sequences consistent with the DART CONOPS were defined and demonstrated by transitioning from an off-state to DTL28. The sequences captured a total of 9 separate throttle conditions, each at nominal, high, and low discharge and neutralizer flow rates. The typical ‘cold’ start-up thruster temperature was -30 C. A baseline start-up script was repeatedly demonstrated, yielding full-thrust operation in less than 8.0 minutes. The sequence incorporates a simultaneous heating of the cathode assemblies, followed by ignition of the neutralizer and discharge cathodes. This approach is a divergence from the standard NEXT-C startup procedure, but yields extremely-reliable ignition, and reduces the duration during which the PPU discharge power supply is energized open-circuit. Reliable neutralizer ignition was achieved at lean conditions vs. the NEXT-C specification, with rapid transition post ignition into quiescent spot-mode. No issues (e.g. thruster arcs, electron back-streaming) were encountered over dozens of thruster start-ups. Figure 10 shows the discharge voltage/current, beam current, accelerator current and coupling voltage during a start-up sequence. Time \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the initiation of the sequence, that is, when current is applied to the cathode heaters. The discharge voltage \( V_d \) and coupling voltage \( V_g \) stay within nominal NEXT operating ranges, and reach steady-state values within minutes of high voltage application. The peak (‘hump’) in accelerator current \( J_a \) is likely due to improper steering of the discharge plasma; as the beam current is increased the ions are properly focused through the apertures yielding decreased impingement currents. The peak accelerator current (~ 14 mA) is of short duration and is within the bounds of typical NEXT operation—minimizing any related lifetime concerns. The discharge \( J_d \) and beam currents \( J_b \) are discussed further below.

Figure 10: Thruster telemetry during a typical automated start-up.
A typical thrust vector measurement obtained during start-up is shown in Fig. 11. The thrust vector is defined as the beam centroid offset angle (as measured by a rake of Faraday probes 1 m from the thruster exit plane) from the center-line of the thruster. Qualitatively, the time-resolved behavior of the thrust vector tracks the changes in the electrode grid gap as the thruster heats up. The thrust vector measurements and analyses were provided to the APL Guidance, Navigation, and Control team, and influenced the final design of the NEXT-C diagnostic package that will be employed to characterize the flight thrusters.

The beam current regulation algorithm is used to control the beam current and maintain constant thrust during steady-state operation. The algorithm operates through closed-loop control of the discharge current. When the true beam current differs from the set-point value (as specified in the lookup table), the discharge current is changed by the amount that the beam current is in error, to a specified limit. The adjustment limit was variable during the testing, and the baseline value was based on a direct scaling from the NSTAR engine. The algorithm operates with a sampling rate of 1 Hz. Minimum beam current and beam stability criteria are incorporated into the algorithm to prevent regulation during transient arc events. A zoom-in of the beam current during a typical start-up is shown in Fig. 12. During a thruster start-up, the discharge is ignited at the set-point value and the beam current regulation algorithm increases the discharge current until the set-point beam current of 2.70 is reached. More than two-dozen automated sequences were executed, both under ‘cold’ (-30 C) and ‘hot’ (+30 C) conditions, exercising the Throttle, Beam Current Regulation, Power Throttling, and Shutdown algorithms. Each sequence consisted of a thruster start-up, a ~2 hour burn at steady-state using Beam Current regulation (constant thrust), a Power-Throttling sequence using an algorithm to increase and decrease thruster input power (changing the power order each time, up-down, down-up), followed by a shutdown. The time required to start the engine and ramp to the set-point power level was found to be highly repeatable and the thrust was regulated to well within 1% during steady-state operation for all DART conditions.
V. Summary

Steady-state performance, lifetime and erosion, and flow sensitivity data have been successfully obtained at anticipated DART operating conditions. Thrust correction factors and propellant efficiency data were used to refine the thruster performance database at anticipated DART operating conditions. It was found that the relaxation in the discharge flow control increases the high-end discharge impedance and the production of Xe** ions, although the increases are modest and inconsequential for DART. Similarly, operating at ‘flooded’ discharge flow rates was found to increase the erosion of the accelerator electrode due to increased charge-exchange collisions, although this increase is of no consequence for DART given the modest throughput required for the mission. Higher-than-nominal neutralizer flow rate during steady-state operation does not deleteriously impact neutralizer operation, nor significantly enhance charge-exchange erosion of the accelerator electrode. The sputter-eroded efflux from the thruster was documented forward-and-behind the exit plane of the thruster over a range of DART throttle conditions, and was provided to APL for plume modeling analysis. A baseline start-up script was defined and repeatedly demonstrated from ambient (-30 C) to full-power for DART, yielding full-thrust operation in less than 7.0 minutes. Thrust vector and thrust vector stability has been characterized at start-up and through thermal equilibrium. Algorithms were defined, developed, and validated repeatedly under a variety of thermal conditions encompassing the anticipated DART Mission conditions. No issues were encountered during the algorithm tests, and the beam current regulation algorithm controlled the thrust to less than 1%, which is well within the mission requirement. NEXT thruster operations are compatible with the proposed relaxation of flow control ranges for ignition and for steady-state operation.
## Appendix: DART Specific Throttle Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-A-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-H-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-O-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-A-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-H-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-O-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-A-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-H-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETL2.7B-O-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-A-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-H-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-O-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-A-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-H-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-O-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-A-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-H-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL28-O-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-175</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-A-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-H-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-O-A</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-A-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>38.682</td>
<td>4.517</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-H-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-O-H</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-H-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>4.262</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL29-O-O</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>36.428</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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